

Territorial Review

TERRITORIALREVIEW.ORG
 FOR MEDIA INQUIRIES
 COMMS@TERRITORIALREVIEW.ORG
 TR-MEDIA-2026

§ WHAT IT IS

Guam's law, free and usable in one place.

Territorial Review is a free research platform for the law of Guam, and the first jurisdiction in a project to assemble the law of the five inhabited U.S. territories into one clean, searchable, and free body of law. It is *live today* at territorialreview.org.

The appellate courts of the U.S. territories decide real cases for nearly four million people, yet their law is among the hardest in the country to find and use. The opinions and codes are public record, but in practice they are scattered, inconsistently formatted, and rarely indexed together. The major commercial platforms were built for the fifty states and the federal system, and their territorial coverage is partial. Territorial Review exists to close that gap, beginning with a corpus for Guam that is already complete.

792

Supreme Court of Guam opinions, 1996 to present

~17,898

sections of the Guam Code Annotated, all 22 titles

~3M

words of statutory text, normalized and searchable

\$0

cost to the public, no account required

WHAT IT DOES TODAY

Search

CASES + STATUTES TOGETHER

Hybrid search across every Guam opinion and the full Guam Code, so a plain-English question and a precise term of art both find the right authority.

Grounded answers

CITATION FIREWALL

A synthesized, plain-English statement of the doctrine, drawn only from Guam's own law, with every citation checked and shown (see the firewall below).

Holdings

ONE SENTENCE PER OPINION

A single-sentence statement of the rule each opinion stands for, so a reader can scan results quickly.

Readable opinions

PARAGRAPH ANCHORS

Clean reading pages with numbered paragraphs, where a pin cite deep-links to the exact supporting paragraph and the official record stays one click away.

Ask one opinion

RETRIEVAL CONFINED TO THAT CASE

A reader can open a question against a single opinion, with the model held to that one case and the same firewall governing its answer.

§ THE CITATION FIREWALL

An answer engine that cannot cite a case it has not seen.

The best-known failure of artificial intelligence in law is the fabricated citation, the confidently invented case that has led to court sanctions on the mainland. Because Territorial Review owns and controls its corpus, generation can be constrained to it. Every citation passes three independent, automatic checks before a reader sees it, and one that fails any check is flagged on screen rather than quietly dropped.

1 CITATION GRAMMAR

A Supreme Court of Guam cite has a fixed form. A parser checks every citation against it, and a federal cite or an out-of-jurisdiction authority is flagged **out of jurisdiction**.

2 CORPUS MEMBERSHIP

A citation can be well-formed and still be fiction. Each cite is matched against the real opinions in the database, and one that does not correspond to a real opinion is marked **unverified**.

3 ENTAILMENT

The subtlest error is a real case cited for something it never held. A separate check compares the statement against the cited passage and, if the passage does not support it, downgrades the cite to **unsupported**.

WHO IT SERVES, AND WHAT COMES NEXT

It is built first for the people for whom an unindexed reporter is effectively a closed door: solo and small-firm attorneys, the public defender and legal-aid community, self-represented litigants, and the students and clerks working on Guam and insular-law questions. The public research tool is free and will stay that way.

Purpose-built tools for three groups are scheduled to follow, for **law firms, government offices, and educators**. Beyond Guam, the same architecture is designed to extend to the Northern Mariana Islands, the U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, and Puerto Rico. The verification engine travels as the corpus grows.

§ FOUNDER AND EDITOR

Karlo Dizon

FOUNDER & EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

A Stanford-trained lawyer admitted in New York, Guam, Maryland, and the District of Columbia, Dizon served as a Research Attorney at the **Supreme Court of Guam** and as an Assistant Public Defender on the island. He is building an AI-core law practice in New York and serves on the **New York State Bar Association's Committee on Artificial Intelligence and Emerging Technologies**, the body studying how the profession should use these tools responsibly.

FAST FACTS

SITE	territorialreview.org
LAUNCH	June 8, 2026
COST	Free, no account
OPINIONS	792 (1996 to present)
STATUTES	~17,898 sections
STANDARD	AI-assisted, human-accountable
CONTACT	comms@territorialreview.org